

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/562,718	06/02/2006	Abbas Razavi	F-873 (31223.00103)	8618
25264 7:	590 09/27/2006		EXAMINER	
FINA TECHNOLOGY INC			LU, C CAIXIA	
PO BOX 674412 HOUSTON, TX 77267-4412			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Author O	10/562,718	RAZAVI, ABBAS					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Caixia Lu	1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
· _ · · ·	— s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	1.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) Objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	· ·					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>	ority documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
AMochanica (Colored Colored Co							
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal I  6)  Other:	Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/562,718 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-17 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shamshoum et al. (US 6,143,683).

Shamshoum teaches a process for the preparation of isotactic and syndiotactic polypropylene blend by polymerization propylene in the presence of a catalyst composition prepared by supporting an isotactic metallocene catalyst and a syndiotactic metallocene catalyst on a common support (col. 2, lines 50-59; col. 4, lines 15-29; and Examples 5 and 12). Examples 5 and 12 demonstrate an isotactic and syndiotactic polypropylene blend containing 90% of isotactic polypropylene with Mw/Mn=160/60=2.7, Shanshoum's polypropylene blend meets the limitation of the instant claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1713

4. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shamshoum et al. (US 6,143,683) in view of Demain (WO 00/60148, its US family US 6,646,051 is referred to for convenience hereinafter).

Shanshoum's teaching is relied upon as shown above.

It is noted that Shamshoum does not expressly demonstrate the process of extruding fiber from the blend. However, extruding fiber from the isotactic and syndiotactic polypropylene blend is well known in the art and is acknowledged in Shamshoum (col. 2, lines 3-5 and 27-29) and such is also taught in Demain. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to extrude Shamshoum's blend to provide a fiber with improved mechanical properties and in the absence of any showing criticality and unexpected results.

It is also noted that Shamshoum does not expressly teach the preparations of the isotactic and syndiotactic polypropylenes in separate reaction zones and then blending them together to provide the polypropylene blend. However, such a process are conventionally practice in the art in order to better control the properties such as molecular weight, molecular distribution and proportion of the individual polymer component in the blend. For example, Demain's isotactic and syndiotactic polypropylenes are prepared separately and then blended together to provide the blend. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to modify Shamshoum's process to prepare the isotactic and syndiotactic polypropylenes in separate reaction zones for the benefits cited above and in the absence of any showing criticality and unexpected results.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,718 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571) 272-1114. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner